arXiv:1511.07817v2 [math.RT] 27 Nov 2015

UNISTRUCTURALITY OF CLUSTER ALGEBRAS OF TYPE $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$

VÉRONIQUE BAZIER-MATTE

Abstract. It is conjectured by Assem, Schiffler and Shramchenko in [ASS14a] that every cluster algebra is unistructural, that is to say, that the set of cluster variables determines uniquely the cluster algebra structure. In other words, there exists a unique decomposition of the set of cluster variables into clusters. This conjecture has been proven to hold true for algebras of type Dynkin or rank 2 [ASS14a]. The aim of this paper is to prove it for algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$. We use triangulations of annuli and algebraic independence of clusters to prove unistructurality for algebras arising from annuli, which are of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$. We also prove the automorphism conjecture [ASS14a] for algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ as a direct consequence.

1. Introduction

Cluster algebras were introduced in 2002 by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [FZ02] in order to create an algebraic framework for canonical bases and total positivity. Since then, cluster algebras have been proved to relate to various areas of mathematics, for example, combinatorics, representation theory of algebras, Lie theory, Poisson geometry and Teichmüller theory.

Cluster algebras are commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras with a distinguished set of generators, called cluster variables, grouped into sets called clusters. The set of all cluster variables is constructed recursively from a set of initial cluster variables using an operation called mutation. Every mutation defines a new cluster differing from the preceding one by a single cluster variable. A conjecture from Assem, Schiffler and Shramchenko in [ASS14a] states that if two clusters generate the same cluster algebra, then each cluster is obtained from the other by a sequence of mutations, that is, there exists a unique decomposition of the set of cluster variables into clusters. It is then said that such a cluster algebra is unistrucutural. The authors already proved it for cluster algebras of rank 2 or of Dynkin type. In this paper, we prove that cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are unistructural.

To do so, we use triangulations of marked surfaces, defined by [FST08] and lifted triangulations of marked surfaces [ST09, Sch10]. Indeed, cluster variables are in one-to-one correspondence with isotopy classes of certain curves in the surface that are called arcs. Clusters are in bijection with triangulations, which are maximal sets of compatible arcs. Hence, we study cluster algebras through triangulations of annuli.

Finally, we prove the automorphism conjecture, formulated in [ASS14a], for cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ as a direct corollary of the unistructurality of these algebras.

The author would like to thank Pr. Ibrahim Assem for his support and guidance. The author also wishes to thank Prs Vasilisa Shramchenko and Ralf Schiffler and M. Guillaume Douville for fruitful discussions. Finally, the author would like to express her gratitude to the NSERC for the Canada Graduate Scholarships in Masters Program.

This conjecture states that, for $\mathscr{A}$ a cluster algebra, $f : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}$ is a cluster automorphism if and only if f is an automorphism of the ambient field which restricts to a permutation of the set of cluster variables. This conjecture is related to Conjecture 7.4(2) in [FZ07] of Fomin and Zelevinsky, restated by [ASS14a] as follows. Two cluster variables x and x' in a cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ are compatible if and only if for each cluster Y of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ containing x, it is possible to write x' as a Laurent polynomial in Y of the reduced form $\frac{P}{M}$ where P is a polynomial in the variables of Y and M is a monomial in the variables of Y excluding x. This conjecture has been proven for cluster algebras arising from surfaces, so, in particular, for cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$, see [FST08].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Cluster algebras.** A *quiver* Q is a quadruple (Q_0, Q_1, s, t) such that Q_0 is a set whose elements are called *points*, Q_1 is a set whose elements are called *arrows* and $s,\ t :\ Q_1 \to Q_0$ are two maps which associate to each arrow two points respectively called its *source* and its *target*. For $i \in Q_0$, we denote i^+ the set of arrows in Q_1 of source i. Similarly, i^- is the set of arrows in Q_1 of target i As part of the study of cluster algebras, one looks only at quivers with neither loops (arrows $\alpha \in Q_1$ such that $s(\alpha) = t(\alpha)$) nor 2-cycles (pairs of arrows $(\alpha, \beta) \in Q_1 \times Q_1$ such that $t(\alpha) = s(\beta)$ and $t(\beta) = s(\alpha)$).

Let K be a field and k a subfield of K. A set $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \subseteq K$ is *algebraically independent* over k if, for all polynomials $f \in k[X]$, $f \neq 0$, we have $f(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \neq 0$. A *seed* (X, Q) consists of a quiver Q whose points are $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \ldots n\}$ and a set $X = \{x_1, \ldots x_n\}$, algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, where we agree that x_i corresponds to the point i. The set X is called a *cluster*. The *mutation of a seed* (X, Q) in direction x_k (or in direction k if there is no ambiguity) transforms (X, Q) into a new seed $\mu_{x_k}(X, Q) = (\mu_{x_k}(X), \mu_k(Q))$ where $\mu_k(Q)$ is a quiver obtained by achieving the followings steps on Q:

(1) reverse all arrows incident to k;
(2) for all pairs of arrows $\alpha, \beta \in Q_1$ such that $t(\alpha) = k = s(\beta)$, add a new arrow δ such that $s(\delta) = \alpha$ and $t(\delta) = \beta$;
(3) remove one-by-one the ensuing 2-cycles,

and $\mu_{k_x}(X) = X \setminus \{x_k\} \cup \{x'_k\}$ with x'_k such that

$$x_k x'_k = \prod_{\alpha \in k^+} x_{t(\alpha)} + \prod_{\alpha \in k^-} x_{s(\alpha)}.$$

An empty product is put equal to 1. We call the equality above the *exchange relation*. We denote by $\mathscr{X}$ the union of all possible clusters obtained from the seed (X, Q) by successive mutations and we call its elements *cluster variables*. Two cluster variables are said to be *compatible* if there exists a cluster containing both. The *cluster algebra* $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of the *ambient field* $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ generated by $\mathscr{X}$.

Observe that quiver mutation is involutive and, hence, mutations of quivers induce an equivalence. It is said that two quivers Q and R are *mutation-equivalent* if there exists a sequence of mutations $\mu = \mu_{k_n} \ldots \mu_{k_1}$ such that $R = \mu(Q)$. A cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ is of *type* $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{p,q}$ if Q is mutation-equivalent to the following quiver:



In order to prove the unistructurality of cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$, we need a few properties of cluster algebras. One of them is the Laurent phenomenon, see [FZ02]. It asserts that, for any cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ and for any cluster $Y=\{y_1,\dots,y_n\}$ in this algebra, each cluster variable can be written as a Laurent polynomial in Y, that is, for all $x\in\mathscr{X}$,

$$x=\frac{P(y_1,\dots,y_n)}{y_1^{d_1}\dots y_n^{d_n}}$$

with $P\in\mathbb{Z}[y_1,\dots,y_n]$ and $d_i\in\mathbb{N}$. In particular,

$$\mathscr{X}\subseteq\mathbb{Z}[y_1,\dots,y_n,y_1^{-1},\dots,y_n^{-1}].$$

Another interesting result about cluster algebras is the positivity theorem [LS15]. It states that the numerator P of a cluster variable written as a reduced Laurent polynomial has only non-negative coefficients. In particular, $P\in\mathbb{N}[y_1,\dots,y_n]$ and

$$\mathscr{X}\subseteq\mathbb{N}[y_1,\dots,y_n,y_1^{-1},\dots,y_n^{-1}].$$

2.2. **Unistructurality.** Recall the definition of an *exchange graph* $\mathbf{EG}(X,Q)$ introduced [FZ02] for a seed (X,Q). The set of vertices $\mathbf{EG}_0(X,Q)$ is the set of clusters in $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ and two clusters are joined by an edge if and only if one can be obtained from the other by a mutation, that is, they differ by a single cluster variable.

Definition 2.1. [ASS14a] We say that the cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ is *unistructural* if, for any subset Y of cluster variables of $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ and for any quiver R such that (Y,R) generates the same set of cluster variables, then $\mathbf{EG}(X,Q)=\mathbf{EG}(Y,R)$ and the sets of clusters of $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ and of $\mathscr{A}(Y,R)$ are the same. More precisely, if (Y,R) generates by all possible successive mutations a family of cluster variables $\mathscr{Y}$, then

(1) the equality $\mathscr{Y}=\mathscr{X}$ implies that $\mathbf{EG}(Y,R)=\mathbf{EG}(X,Q)$
(2) there exists a permutation σ of $\mathbf{EG}_0(X,Q)$ such that $X_\alpha=Y_{\sigma(\beta)}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbf{EG}_0(X,Q)$ and with $\beta\in\mathbf{EG}_0(Y,R)$.

We already know that cluster algebras of rank 2 and of Dynkin type are unistructural [ASS14a]. The next conjecture is from [ASS14a].

Conjecture 2.2. All cluster algebras are unistructural.

2.3. **Triangulations and universal covers.** Rather than studying cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ by performing mutations on their quivers, we study triangulations of annuli by flipping their arcs. The following definitions are adapted from [FST08].

A *marked surface* (S,M) consists of a connected oriented 2-dimensional Riemann surface S with boundary and a nonempty finite set of *marked points* M in the closure of S with at least one marked point on each boundary component. Marked points

in the interior of S are called *punctures*. An *arc* γ in (S, M) is the isotopy class of a curve such that:

- the endpoints of the curve are in M;
- the curve does not selfintersect, except that its endpoints may coincide;
- the curve is disjoint from M and the boundary of S except for its endpoints;
- the curve does not cut out an unpunctured monogon, that is the curve is not contractible into a point of M.

An arc is *interior* if it is not (isotopic to) an arc lying on the boundary of S and it is a *boundary arc* otherwise. Two arcs are *compatible* if there are curves in their respective isotopy classes which do not intersect, except perhaps at their endpoints. A *triangulation* is a maximal collection of pairwise compatible arcs.

Let T be a triangulation of an unpunctured marked surface. A *flip* μ_γ in T replaces one particular arc γ by the unique arc $\gamma' \neq \gamma$ which, together with the remaining arcs of T, forms a new triangulation. Because flips are clearly involutive, we call two triangulations *flip-equivalent* if they are connected by a sequence of flips.

One can associates a quiver Q_T to a triangulation T of an unpunctured marked surface (S, M) as follows. The points of Q_T correspond to the interior arcs of T. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two sides of a triangle in T. There is an arrow α such that $s(\alpha) = \gamma_1$ and $t(\alpha) = \gamma_2$ if and only if γ_2 follows γ_1 with respect to the orientation of S around their common vertex. The cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(X, Q_T)$ given by a cluster X and the quiver Q_T is called the *cluster algebra associated to the surface* (S, M). If T_k is obtained from T by a flip replacing an arc labeled k, then, $Q_{T_k} = \mu_k(Q_T)$ (see [FST08]). Indeed, one can show that $\mathscr{A}(X, Q_T)$ does not depend on the particular triangulation T we started from [FST08]. It is therefore possible to study cluster algebras associated to a marked surface through triangulations of this surface. More precisely, clusters are in one-to-one correspondence with triangulations and cluster variables are in one-to-one correspondence with arcs.

The exchange relation arising from flipping γ in this figure is the *Ptolemy relation*



$x_\gamma x_{\gamma'} = x_\alpha x_\delta + x_\beta x_\epsilon$, where x_η denotes the cluster variable associated to the arc η (if some of the sides are boundary arcs, the corresponding variables are replaced by 1). We call such a set of arcs α, β, δ and ϵ a *quadrilateral* and the arcs γ and γ' its *diagonals*.

If Q_T is a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{p,q}$, then T is the triangulation of an annulus with p points on one boundary and q points on the other, see [ABCJP10]. In an annulus, arcs with both endpoints on the same boundary are called *peripherical* while arcs with endpoints on different boundaries are called *bridging*.

Example 2.3. The quiver Q in the figure 1 and the triangulation T in the figure 2 are associated, that is $Q = Q_T$. Moreover, $\mu_4(Q)$ and T' are associated.

Let associate the cluster variable x_i to arc i. By the Ptolemy relation, we find $x_4 x_4' = x_1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot x_5$.



FIGURE 1. Let Q be a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{3,2}$, the first quiver shown. We mutate it in direction 4 to obtain the second quiver.



FIGURE 2. Let T be a triangulation of an annulus with 3 points on a boundary and 2 points on the other, the first triangulation shown. We obtain the second triangulation, T', by flipping arc 4.

The arc 4 in T is bridging, while its flip, the arc $4'$ in T', is peripherical. Note that $4'$ is not isotopic to the inside boundary of the annulus, because of the another marked point on it.

Representing and visualizing arcs in annuli can rapidly be confusing if they wrap around the inside boundary. Therefore, one prefers to use a universal cover. Let Q, $\tilde{Q}$ be two quivers such that $\mathbb{Z}$ acts freely on $\tilde{Q}$, that is $n \cdot \tilde{i} \neq \tilde{i}$ for all points $\tilde{i} \in \tilde{Q}_0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Note $Q(i,j) = \{\alpha \in Q \mid s(\alpha) = i \text{ and } t(\alpha) = j\}$ and $\tilde{Q}(\tilde{i},\tilde{j}) = \left\{\tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{Q} \mid s(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{i} \text{ and } t(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{j}\right\}$ for all i, $j \in Q_0$ and for all $\tilde{i}$, $\tilde{j} \in \tilde{Q}_0$. A *Galois covering* $\pi : \tilde{Q} \to Q$ is given by two maps $\pi_0 : \tilde{Q}_0 \to Q_0$ and $\pi_1 : \tilde{Q}_1 \to Q_1$ such that:

- for all points $\tilde{i}$, $\tilde{j} \in \tilde{Q}_0$, we have $\pi_1\left(\tilde{Q}_1(\tilde{i},\tilde{j})\right) \subseteq Q_1\left(\pi_0\left(\tilde{i}\right), \pi_0\left(\tilde{j}\right)\right)$;
- the map π_0 is surjective;
- for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\pi \circ n = \pi$;
- if $\tilde{i}$, $\tilde{j} \in \tilde{Q}_0$ such that $\pi_0(\tilde{i}) = \pi_0(\tilde{j})$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tilde{j} = n \cdot \tilde{i}$;
- for all $\tilde{i} \in \tilde{Q}_0$, π_1 induces two bijections $\tilde{i}^+ \to \left(\pi_0\left(\tilde{i}\right)\right)^+$ and $\tilde{i}^- \to \left(\pi_0\left(\tilde{i}\right)\right)^-$.

If $Q = Q_T$ and $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{T}}$, it induces a map $\pi' : \tilde{T} \to T$. The triangulation $\tilde{T}$ is called the *lifted triangulation* of T.

The elements of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(i)$ of a point i in Q_0 are of the form $\tilde{i}_n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_i = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_{\tilde{i}_n}$. Then, $\tilde{\mu}_i(\tilde{T}) = (\pi')^{-1}(\mu_i(T))$, see [Sch10].

Example 2.4. Triangulations in Figure 3 are the lifted triangulations of triangulations in Figure 2.



FIGURE 3. Lifted triangulations associated to triangulations in Figure 2

3. Unistructurality for $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$

In order to prove the theorem 3.2, we need this technical lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let x_1 and x_2 be two variables from a cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ and let Σ_1, Σ_2 and Σ_3 be sums of products of cluster variables with positive coefficients such that x_1x_2 is not a term of these sums or is not the only one.*

a) If $x_1x_2 = \Sigma_1$, then $x_1 \notin X$ or $x_2 \notin X$.
b) If $x_1x_2\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_1\Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3$, then x_1 or $x_2 \notin X$.

Proof.

a) Each cluster variable of $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ can be written as a Laurent polynomial in X. Therefore, Σ_1, as a sum of products of cluster variables, can also be written as a Laurent polynomial, say $\frac{P_1}{M_1}$, where P_1 is a polynomial in X and M_1 is a monomial in X. We now have $x_1x_2 = \frac{P_1}{M_1}$, which is equivalent to
$$M_1x_1x_2 - P_1 = 0.$$
If $x_1 \in X$ and $x_2 \in X$, we would get a contradiction to the algebraic independence of X.

b) Let $\Sigma_i = \frac{P_i}{M_i}$, where P_i is a polynomial in X and M_i a monomial in X for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thereby, we obtain $x_1x_2\frac{P_1}{M_1} = \frac{P_1}{M_1}\frac{P_2}{M_2} + \frac{P_3}{M_3}$ which gives
$$M_1M_2P_3 = x_1x_2M_2M_3P_1 - M_3P_1P_2 = M_3P_1(x_1x_2M_2 - P_2).$$
By the positivity theorem, coefficients of the terms in X in cluster variables are positive; it is in particular the case in P_3. Since there is a minus sign on the right side of the equality, we deduce that, either $M_3P_1P_2 = 0$, which is impossible,

or each of the terms of the development of M_3P_2 appears in the development of $x_1x_2M_2$ with a coefficient greater or equal.

Suppose that $x_1 \in X$ and $x_2 \in X$; in particular, they are monomials. Since $x_1x_2M_2$ is only a product of variables of X without any coefficient, we deduce that $P_2 = x_1x_2M_2$, thus, $M_1M_2P_3 = 0$, which is once again a contradiction to the algebraic independence of X. □

Theorem 3.2. *Cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are unistructural.*

Proof. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{p+q}\}$ and Y be algebraically independent sets on $\mathbb{Q}$, Q a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{p,q}$ and R a quiver such that the cluster variables of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ and of $\mathscr{A}(Y, R)$ are the same. Remark that a consequence of that hypothesis is that X et Y have the same number of elements, which is the cardinality of a transcendence basis of the (common) ambient field. We show that Y is a cluster of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$.

Assume to the contrary that Y is not a cluster of X. Thus, there exists two variables y_i and y_j of Y incompatible in $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$. We know that variables of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ are associated with arcs of an annulus with p marked points on a border and q points on the other one. Consider the arcs γ_i and γ_j associated to y_i and y_j in $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$. Let i_1 and i_2 be the endpoints of γ_i and j_1 and j_2 be the endpoints of γ_j. We have to study three cases: the arcs γ_i and γ_j can both be periphical, both bridging or one is periphical and the other is bridging.

First case: the arc γ_i is periphical and the arc γ_j is bridging. Without loss of generality, assume that i_1, i_2 and j_1 are all on the same border. Consider the triangulation of Figure 4 where z_1, z_2, z_3 and z_4 are cluster variables of a same cluster of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$. Note that z_1 and z_2 could be associated to boundary arcs, in which case they are equal to 1. Note also that it is possible that $i_1 = i_2$.



FIGURE 4. A quadrilateral with an incompatible periphical arc and a bridging arc as diagonals

By the Ptolemy relation, we obtain

$$y_iy_j = z_1z_3 + z_2z_4.$$

Now, $z_1z_3 + z_2z_4$ is a sum of product of cluster variables in $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ so it is also the case in $\mathscr{A}(Y, R)$. Therefore, Lemma 3.1 ensures that y_i or $y_j \notin Y$, which is a contradiction to the initial hypothesis.

Second case: the arcs γ_i and γ_j are peripherical. If they intersect each other exactly once, there exists once again a quadrilateral with these arcs as diagonals, as shown in Figure 5 (where possibly $i_1 = j_2$), and we again obtain a contradiction to Lemma 3.1.



FIGURE 5. A quadrilateral with peripherical arcs intersecting each other exactly once as diagonals

Now assume that γ_i et γ_j intersect each other twice. By representing them in the lifted triangulation of an annulus, one sees easily that they cannot intersect each other more than twice and that, in that case, i_1 and i_2 are necessarily distinct from j_1 and j_2. First, consider the case where i_1, i_2, j_1 and j_2 are all distinct. Let Z be the cluster of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ associated with the triangulation of Figure 6 (represented as a lifted triangulation) with $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{p+q}\}$ and $z_1 = y_i$.



FIGURE 6. A triangulation containing the peripherical arc γ_i intersecting twice the peripherical arc γ_j

Remark that $z_6, \ldots, z_{10}$ could be associated with boundary arcs. Consider the sequence of mutations in order to obtain y_j as shown in figure 7.

Remark that the last triangulation of Figure 7 implies $z'_5 = y_j$. Moreover, because of the Ptolemy relation, we obtain the following five equalities:

- $z_1 z'_1 = z_2 z_5 + z_4 z_8$;
- $z_2 z'_2 = z'_1 z_3 + z_8 z_{10}$;
- $z_3 z'_3 = z'_2 z_9 + z_4 z_8$;
- $z_4 z'_4 = z'_1 z'_3 + z'_2 z_5$;
- $z_5 z'_5 = z'_3 z_7 + z'_4 z_6$.



FIGURE 7. A sequence of mutation from a triangulation containing the peripherical arc γ_i associated with $y_i = z_1$ to the peripherical arc γ_j associated to y_j and intersecting γ_j twice

From these equalities, we develop the expression $z_1 z_1' z_2' z_5'$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_1' z_2' z_5' &= (z_2 z_5 + z_4 z_8) z_2' z_5' \\ &= (z_2 z_2')(z_5 z_5') + \Sigma_1 \\ &= (z_1' z_3 + z_8 z_{10})(z_3' z_7 + z_4' z_6) + \Sigma_1 \\ &= (z_3 z_3') z_1' z_7 + \Sigma_2 \\ &= (z_2' z_9 + z_4 z_8) z_1' z_7 + \Sigma_2 \\ &= (z_1' z_2') z_7 z_9 + \Sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= z_2' z_4 z_5 z_8, \\ \Sigma_2 &= z_1' z_3 z_4 z_6 + z_3' z_7 z_8 z_{10} + z_4' z_6 z_8 z_{10} + \Sigma_1 \text{ and} \\ \Sigma_3 &= z_1' z_4 z_7 z_8 + \Sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that every z_k and z_k', being cluster variables of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$, are also cluster variables of $\mathscr{A}(Y, R)$, so are Laurent polynomial in Y. The same applies to Σ_3 since it is a sum of products of cluster variables. By Lemma 3.1, $y_i \notin$ or $y_j \notin Y$ (because $y_i = z_1$ and $y_j = z_5$), which is a contradiction.

If we have $i_1 = i_2$ or $j_1 = j_2$, we would arrive at the same contradiction, but the sequence of flips to achieve it would be shorter because some arcs would be equal.

Third case: the arcs γ_i and γ_j are bridging. As a first step, consider the case where i_1 and j_1, as well as i_2 and j_2, are distinct, and where the arcs γ_i are γ_j intersect each other exactly once. Then, there exists a quadrilateral with γ_i and γ_j as diagonals shown in triangulation of the Figure 8 and we obtain the same contradiction as in the first case.



FIGURE 8. A quadrilateral with two arcs bridging intersecting each other once as diagonals

Now consider the cases where γ_i et γ_j intersect each other n times with $n \geq 2$. Let Z be the cluster of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ associated to the triangulation in Figure 9

There exists, up to symmetry, a unique arc γ_j intersecting γ_i n times. For $n = 2$, this arc is represented by a dotted line. After a sequence of flips, we obtain this arc (see Figure 10).

We obtain the arc γ_j associated to $z_4' = y_j$. By the Ptolemy relation, we deduce the following equalities:

- $z_1 z_1' = z_2 z_3 + z_4 z_6$;



FIGURE 9. A triangulation containing the bridging arc γ_i associated to $y_i = z_1$ and intersecting $n = 2$ times the bridging arc γ_i

- $z_2 z_2' = z_1' z_5 + z_3 z_6$;
- $z_3 z_3' = (z_1')^2 + z_2' z_4$;
- $z_4 z_4' = z_1' z_8 + z_3' z_7$.

We use some of these equalities to develop the expression $z_1 z_1' z_4'$.

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_1' z_4' &= (z_2 z_3 + z_4 z_6) z_4' \\ &= (z_4 z_4') z_6 + \Sigma_1 \\ &= (z_1' z_8 + z_3' z_7) z_6 + \Sigma_1 \\ &= z_1' z_6 z_8 + \Sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_1 = z_2 z_3 z_4'$ et $\Sigma_2 = z_3' z_6 z_7 + \Sigma_1$. Since $z_1 = x_i'$ et $z_4' = x_j'$, we obtain once again a contradiction as a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

If the γ_i and γ_j intersect each other $n = 3$ times, we have to achieve an additional mutation from the last triangulation of the Figure 10 to obtain the arc γ_j; this mutation is shown in Figure 11. Note that, in this figure, the arc γ_i is represented by a dotted line.

From the mutations of variables, we obtain the equality $z_1' z_1'' = z_2' z_7 + z_3' z_4'$. We develop the product $z_1 z_1' z_3' z_1''$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_1' z_3' z_1'' &= (z_2 z_3 + z_4 z_6) z_3' z_1'' \\ &= (z_3 z_3') z_1'' z_2 + \Sigma_4 \\ &= \left((z_1')^2 + z_2' z_4\right) z_1'' z_2 + \Sigma_4 \\ &= (z_1' z_1'') z_1' z_2 + \Sigma_5 \\ &= (z_2' z_7 + z_3' z_4') z_1' z_2 + \Sigma_5 \\ &= (z_1' z_3') z_2 z_4' + \Sigma_6 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Sigma_4 = z_1'' z_3' z_4 z_6$, $\Sigma_5 = z_1'' z_2 z_2' z_4 + \Sigma_4$ and $\Sigma_6 = z_1' z_2 z_2' z_7 + \Sigma_5$. Using Lemma 3.1, we find once again $z_1 \notin Y$ or $z_1'' \notin Y$, so y_i or $y_j \notin Y$.

Now consider the case where $n = 4$, that is where the arcs γ_i and γ_j intersect each other four times. We obtain γ_j from last triangulation of Figure 11 after two other mutations, shown at Figure 12.

We obtain equalities $z_3' z_3'' = z_1'' z_8 + z_4' z_7$ and $z_4' z_4'' = (z_1'')^2 + z_2' z_3''$ with the Ptolemy relation.

From now on, we can consider the general case where γ_i and γ_j intersect each other n times, with $n \geq 4$. Denote $\mu_0 = \mu_3\mu_1\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1$ (it is all mutations until



FIGURE 10. A sequence of flips from a triangulation containing the bridging arc γ_i associated to $y_i = z_1$ to a triangulation containing the bridging arc γ_j associated to $y_j = z_4'$ and intersecting $n = 2$ times γ_i



FIGURE 11. Mutation to obtain peripherical arc γ_j associated to $y_j = z_1''$ and intersecting $n = 3$ times γ_i


μ_3
j_1 i_1 j_1 i_1 j_1 i_1 j_1
z_5 z_6 z_5 z_6 z_5 z_6
z_2' z_4' z_2' z_4' z_2' z_4'
z_1'' z_3'' z_1'' z_3'' z_1'' z_3''
z_7 z_8 z_7 z_8 z_7 z_8
j_2 i_2 j_2 i_2 j_2 i_2 j_2
μ_4
z_2' z_4'' z_2' z_4'' z_2' z_4''
z_1'' z_3'' z_1'' z_3'' z_1'' z_3''

FIGURE 12. A sequence of mutations to obtain the peripherical arc γ_j associated with $y_j = z_4''$ and intersecting $n = 3$ times γ_i

now achieved except for the last one). Denote also by $z_1^{(k)}$ et $z_4^{(k)}$ the last variables obtained by sequences of mutations $(\mu_1\mu_4)^{k-2}\mu_0$ and $\mu_2(\mu_1\mu_4)^{k-2}\mu_0$ respectively, with $k \geq 3$.

A simple induction yields

$$z_1^{(k-1)} z_1^{(k)} = \left(z_4^{(k-1)}\right)^2 + z_2' z_3''$$

and

$$z_4^{(k-1)} z_4^{(k)} = \left(z_1^{(k)}\right)^2 + z_2' z_3''.$$

Moreover, from Figure 12, remark by induction that the arc associated to $z_1^{(k)}$ intersects $2k-1$ times γ_i and the arc associated to $z_2^{(k)}$ intersects it $2k$ times for $k \geq 3$. Using equality 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_1' z_3' z_1'' z_4'' &= \left((z_1' z_3') z_2 z_4' + \Sigma_6\right) z_4'' \\ &= (z_4' z_4'') z_1' z_3' z_2 + z_4'' \Sigma_6 \\ &= \left(\left(z_1''\right)^2 + z_2' z_3''\right) z_1' z_3' z_2 + z_4'' \Sigma_6 \\ &= z_1' z_3' \left(z_1''\right)^2 z_2 + \Sigma_7, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_7 = z_1' z_2 z_2' z_3' z_3'' + z_4'' \Sigma_6$. Hence, we deduce by induction

$$z_1 z_1' z_3' \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \left(z_1^{(k)} z_4^{(k)}\right) z_1^{(m)} = z_1' z_3' \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \left(z_1^{(k)} z_4^{(k)}\right) \left(z_2^{(m-1)}\right)^2 + \Sigma_{2m+2}$$

and

$$z_1 z_1' z_3' \prod_{k=2}^{m} \left(z_1^{(k)} z_4^{(k)}\right) = z_1' z_3' \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \left(z_1^{(k)} z_4^{(k)}\right) \left(z_1^{(m)}\right)^2 + \Sigma_{2m+3}$$

for $m \geq 3$ and where Σ_{2m+2} and Σ_{2m+3} are sums of products of cluster algebras with positive coefficients.

Using Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $z_1 \notin Y$ or $z_1^{(k)} \notin Y$ for all $k \geq 3$. Similarly, $z_1 \notin Y$ or $z_2^{(k)} \notin Y$ for all $k \geq 3$. If $i_1 = j_1$ or $i_2 = j_2$, the proof technique would be similar, but we would consider less arcs in triangulations and, hence, there would be less mutations to achieve. Thus, if y_j is associated with a bridging arc intersecting γ_i (regardless of the number of crossings), $y_i \notin Y$ or $y_j \notin Y$.

Since we have proved that y_i and y_j cannot be associated with a peripherical arc and a bridging arc, to two peripherical arcs or to two bridging arcs intersecting each other in $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$, then they are associated with two compatible arcs of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$. Hence, y_i and y_j are compatible variables in $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ and, since $|Y| = |X|$, Y is a cluster of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$.

To show that cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are unistructural, we still have to show that R is a quiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$. To do so, we adapt the proof from [ASS14b] for the Dynkin case. Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots z_{p+q}\}$ be a cluster of $\mathscr{A}(X, Q)$ associated to the following quiver, denoted by Q_Z:



By the first part of this proof, we know that Z is also a cluster $\mathscr{A}(Y, R)$, but not necessarily associated to the same quiver. Denote by R_Z the quiver of $\mathscr{A}(Y, R)$

associated to Z and $\mu_{Q_Z}(z_i)$ and $\mu_{R_Z}(z_i)$ the new variables created by mutation in direction i of Z in $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ and in $\mathscr{A}(Y,R)$ respectively. Both variables are Laurent polynomials in Z with z_i as denominator. More precisely,

$$\mu_{Q_Z}(z_i) = \frac{\prod\limits_{\alpha\in i^+ \text{ in } Q_Z} z_{t(\alpha)} + \prod\limits_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } Q_Z} z_{s(\alpha)}}{z_i}$$

and

$$\mu_{R_Z}(z_i) = \frac{\prod\limits_{\alpha\in i^+ \text{ in } R_Z} z_{t(\alpha)} + \prod\limits_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } R_Z} z_{s(\alpha)}}{z_i}.$$

As the quiver Q_Z is acyclic, there exists a unique variable of $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ with z_i as denominator, see theorem 2.2 in [BMRT07]. This variable is also unique in $\mathscr{A}(Y,R)$, hence

$$\prod_{\alpha\in i^+ \text{ in } Q_Z} z_{t(\alpha)} = \prod_{\alpha\in i^+ \text{ in } R_Z} z_{t(\alpha)} \text{ and } \prod_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } Q_Z} z_{s(\alpha)} = \prod_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } R_Z} z_{s(\alpha)}$$

or

$$\prod_{\alpha\in i^+ \text{ in } Q_Z} z_{t(\alpha)} = \prod_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } R_Z} z_{s(\alpha)} \text{ and } \prod_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } Q_Z} z_{s(\alpha)} = \prod_{\alpha\in i^- \text{ in } R_Z} z_{t(\alpha)}.$$

As i is arbitrary and Q is connected, it follows that $R_Z = Q_Z$ or $R_X = Q_Z^{\text{op}}$, see [ASS12]. Then, R is of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{p,q}$, which proves that cluster algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are unistructural. □

3.1. **Consequence.** Recall the definition of a cluster automorphism from [ASS12]. Let $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ be a cluster algebra, and let $f : \mathscr{A}(X,Q) \to \mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras. Then f is called a *cluster automorphism* if there exists a seed (Y,R) of $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $f(Y)$ is a cluster in $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$;
- f is compatible with mutations, that is, for every $y_k \in Y$, we have

$$f\left(\mu_{y_k}(Y)\right) = \mu_{f(y_k)}(f(Y)).$$

In [Sal14], the author defines another notion of automorphism of a cluster algebra: this is an automorphism of the ambient field which restricts to a permutation of the set of cluster variables.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ be a cluster algebra of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$. Then $f : \mathscr{A}(X,Q) \to \mathscr{A}(X,Q)$ is a cluster automorphism if and only if f is an automorphism of the ambient field which restricts to a permutation of the set of cluster variables.*

Proof. Lemma 5.2 in [ASS14a] states that this result is true for unistructural cluster algebras. It then follows from Theorem 3.2. □

It is conjectured in [ASS14a] that this result is true for any cluster algebra. Indeed, it would follow from Conjecture 2.2 and Lemma 5.2 in [ASS14a].

References

[ABCJP10] Ibrahim Assem, Thomas Brstle, Gabrielle Charbonneau-Jodoin, and Pierre-Guy Plamondon. Gentle algebras arising from surface triangulations. *Algebra and Number Theory*, 4(2):201–229, 2010.

[ASS12] Ibrahim Assem, Ralf Schiffler, and Vasilisa Shramchenko. Cluster automorphisms. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(104):1271–1302, 2012.

[ASS14a] Ibrahim Assem, Ralf Schiffler, and Vasilisa Shramchenko. Cluster automorphisms and compatibility of cluster variables. *Glasgow Mathematics Journal*, 56(3):705–718, 2014.

[ASS14b] Ibrahim Assem, Ralf Schiffler, and Vasilisa Shramchenko. Addendum to cluster automorphisms and compatibility of cluster variables. *Glasgow Mathematics Journal*, 56(3):719–720, 2014.

[BMRT07] Aslak B. Buan, Robert J. Marsh, Idun Reiten, and Gordana Todorov. Clusters and seeds in acyclic cluster algebras. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 135(10):3049–3060, 2007.

[FST08] Sergey Fomin, Michael Shapiro, and Dylan Thurston. Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. part i: Cluster complexes. *Acta Mathematica*, 201(1):83–146, 2008.

[FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras I: Foundations. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(2):497–529, 2002.

[FZ07] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras IV: Coefficients. *Compositio Mathematica*, 143(1):112–164, 2007.

[LS15] Kyungyong Lee and Ralf Schiffler. Positivity for cluster algebras. *Annals of Mathematics*, 182(1):73–125, 2015.

[Sal14] Ibrahim Saleh. Exchange maps of cluster algebras. *International Electronic Journal of Algebra*, 16:1–15, 2014.

[Sch10] Ralf Schiffler. On cluster algebras arising from unpunctured surfaces II. *Advances in Mathematics*, 223(6):1885 – 1923, 2010.

[ST09] Ralf Schiffler and Hugh Thomas. On cluster algebras arising from unpunctured surfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(17):3160–3189, 2009.

Département de matématiques, Université de Sherbrooke, 2500, boul. de l'Université, Sherbrooke, Qc J1K 2R1, Canada

E-mail address: Veronique.Bazier-Matte@usherbrooke.ca